



The Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly  
Mr O'Dea  
NSW Parliament  
Macquarie Street  
SYDNEY NSW 2000

16/8/2021

Re: Member breach s13A (1)b NSW Constitution Act 1902

Dear Mr O'Dea,

I am writing to you, in your capacity as Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly. I am a NSW resident and startup business owner struggling to survive under the lockdowns. However this is not my reason for writing.

I am writing to petition you, as Speaker of the House, in order to present to you evidence that proves that a Member of the NSW Legislative Assembly and Minister has by their own words, spoken publicly, recorded by the media, shared online and viewed by millions of people around the world, shown themselves, beyond doubt, to be in *adherence of a foreign power* and most likely to be in *obedience of a foreign power*. If either is true the Member is in breach of section 13A (1)b of the NSW Constitution Act 1902.

Mr Speaker, it is my understanding upon such a breach being proven, that a vacancy must be declared in the Members seat, and this process is appropriately conducted through the legislative chamber thus affected. In this case the NSW Legislative Assembly. It is my understanding also, should the Member in question wish to appeal the vacancy, the NSW Court of Disputed Returns would rule on the validity or otherwise of the vacancy.

Therefore I urge you to consider the facts I present and because there can be no denial and nor has the Member made any attempt to explain, brief or retract the offensive statements, that you follow the rule of law and declare the Members seat vacant. Should the member wish to defend their clear breach they must do so in the NSW Court of Disputed Returns. The laws are very clear on these issues.

Mr O'Dea I am providing you this opportunity to display to the people of NSW that rule of law and proper procedure indeed exists in the NSW Parliament. I will await your response before I take any further steps to ensure this matter is publicized and properly resolved.

However, due to the lack of response I received from others in NSW Parliament, I am forced to require an acknowledgement from you within 7 days and a substantially adequate reply from you regarding this matter in no greater than 21 days. In the absence of either I am resolved to take whatever steps I need to ensure this matter is given wide

**Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to  
Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902**

---

distribution and is resolved appropriately. Make no mistake, I will pursue this until I am satisfied justice has been done.

Regards,



Postscript.

Based on the level of contempt shown to the people of New South Wales by the current Government and indeed the vast majority of sitting Members of the NSW Parliament, I have no expectation of receiving even an adequate reply to this petition. After watching the only workings of NSW Parliament since closure on June 24th, which I describe below, I have no faith whatsoever that the case I outline here will be properly considered on its face, or that the rule of law will be followed.

Today witnessed the Labor and Green Members from the other chamber in committee, berate the NSW Health Minister and voice their desire to subject the people of New South Wales to even stricter and more oppressive measures than we are now suffering. Their appalling display proves beyond any doubt that the people of New South Wales are represented by a species unrecognizable as human beings. There is no empathy, there is no humanity, there is just an obsession with vaccine rates and a unanimous trust and belief in a report from the Doherty Institute, a source so corrupted with conflicts of interest due to vaccine research money and vaccine patent royalties that I'm shocked they have the gall to offer advice on health policy and angry that Government and Members would accept the advice without seeking alternatives.

Secondly, having reviewed in some detail the career of the Member I name in this petition, any reasonable person would note the sheer quantity of political scandals the Member has been at the centre of. Going back 30 years to their early days in NSW Parliament, this Member always appears to be involved in some capacity to all the most well publicized and egregious political scandal and in each case they've walked away untroubled by sanction of any kind. Even cases where criminal acts appear to be easily proven, this Member is immune to prosecution it seems. Once again any reasonable person would conclude the Member had protection of some kind.

So, once again, for this reason I have little faith that the Member will be dealt with in any proper manner. Even though, in ways that would indicate a far deeper conspiracy, the manner of protection surrounding the Member is noteworthy. In short, a well connected Member of the NSW Parliament appears immune to consequences for any rule of law they break.

## **1. NSW Constitution and rule of law still apply during health event**

### **COVID response must not void the Constitution**

I understand that the current health emergency or pandemic has resulted in extraordinary laws and orders to be effected. However NO such circumstance, no matter how dire can negate, void, ignore or set aside the very basis of our lawful Government in NSW, the NSW Constitution Act of 1902. To do so in a purported situation of emergency is to wilfully break the sacred trust that underpins our system - *Government by consent of the people*.

### **Rule of law...if ignored for the highest it can't be enforced on the lowest**

At this critical time where residents of NSW are expected to follow rules without question no matter how oppressive, to allow a Member or a Minister to blatantly disregard rules or the law is tempting mass civil disobedience. Rule of law must be strictly enforced by everyone. Members or Ministers should be held to an even higher standard than the residents of NSW who consent to be Governed by them.

## **2. s13A (1)b Nsw Constitution Act 1902 No.32**

**Section 13A (1)b of the NSW Constitution Act 1902 states;**

13A Further disqualifications

(1) If a Member of either House of Parliament—

(b) takes any oath ***or makes any declaration or acknowledgment of allegiance, obedience or adherence to any foreign prince or power*** or does or concurs in or adopts any act whereby he may become a subject or citizen of any foreign state or power or become entitled to the rights, privileges or immunities of a subject of any foreign state or power,

his seat as a Member of that House shall thereby become vacant.

### **Crown Solicitor Opinion on allegiance**

In answer to a question in Parliament in 1992, Liberal Attorney General John Hannaford advised the NSW Legislative Council that:

...the view of the Crown Solicitor is that the member would have to swear allegiance to a foreign power *after* becoming a member of Parliament in New South Wales in order to be disqualified from continuing as a member of this Parliament.

### **3. Minister Hazzard adheres to a foreign power**

#### **Minister Hazzard is the most powerful man in NSW**

Brad Hazzard is the member for Wakehurst in the NSW Legislative Assembly. He is also the NSW Minister for Health and Medical research. Since COVID reached Australia Minister Hazzard has been arguably the most powerful person in the NSW Government.

As Health Minister, once a health emergency is declared Minister Hazzard enjoys vast powers to act in any manner he considers reasonable and to issue Public Health Orders that can be applied to any location in NSW and any activity. The powers accrued to Minister Hazzard by the NSW Public Health Act 2010 are truly vast and unfettered, his Public Health Orders have no path of appeal and are unaccountable and effectively without oversight.

In July 2021 I wrote to the Minister regarding his powers and asked some questions about his public statements and also the effective limits of those powers. I received no reply. At the time I was unaware that Minister Hazzard had, by way of his subordinate the NSW Chief Health Officer, advised NSW Parliament to close due to COVID on June 24th 2021.

In my unanswered letter I posed this question;

*The Minister's powers under NSW Public Health Act 2010 No. 127. are vast. It is my understanding that, should he so decide, the Minister could declare Parliament House to be a Health Emergency site and thus stop all democratic Government in NSW while he continued to rule by the fiat powers of the Act mentioned above. In fact the powers the Minister has under that act are so grossly against any notion of the Westminster parliamentary system, that they could in theory allow a Hitler, Stalin or Mussolini type dictatorship to legally rule as the entire NSW Government authority. If there are functional checks and balances to the Minister's powers under NSW Public Health Act 2010 No. 127. (2) please advise what they are. Under the scenario I propose where a Minister is hell bent on ceasing the parliamentary democracy and instead establishing a dictatorship to rule by fiat under the powers of the Act, (3) what barriers exist to stop the Minister from doing so?*

Clearly, in answer to my own question there is nothing to stop such a scenario from unfolding. This fact requires reflection and it requires legislative amendment.

#### **Minister Hazzard commands NSW residents to accept 'this is the new world order'**

Minister Hazzard has been a daily fixture in televised media briefings for months. Many media commentators have drawn attention to Minister Hazzard's behaviour in these press briefings. Minister Hazzard has variously chided, lectured, angrily accused, ridiculed and demeaned and intimidated and bullied NSW residents to completely and unconditionally submit to his increasingly authoritarian and oppressive Public Health Orders.

**Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to  
Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902**

---

Considering the position of complete and unaccountable authority Minister Hazzard held, with NSW Parliament closed through his advice (via Ms Chant) and parts of Sydney subjected to the most stringent lockdown orders ever imposed in NSW, Minister Hazzard stood before cameras and commanded the people of NSW and the world that we should accept certain new realities.

At a televised press conference on or about 12th July 2021 Minister Hazzard stated in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic “**...we’ve got to accept that this is the new world order**”.

These are far from trivial words spoken in error, I put it to you that Minister Hazzard did not mis-speak, instead he was articulating a subject that was clearly on his mind for some time, because at a televised press conference on or around 8th October 2020 Minister Hazzard stated in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic “**we must treat this new world order...this new world of covid...**”

Minister Hazzard was ordering NSW residents to submit and unconditionally comply because he wasn’t just representing the NSW Government as Health Minister using emergency powers...He was commanding NSW residents to comply to a higher power, over and above the State of NSW and over even the Commonwealth.

Minister Hazzard was telling NSW residents to submit to and accept a permanent restriction to their freedoms and accept they no longer had any of the rights they may think they previously enjoyed. Minister Hazzard was purposefully telling the people of NSW that he represented ‘the New World Order’ an unelected foreign power, a global Government that usurped the powers of the State of NSW and the Commonwealth of Australia.

**Minister Hazzard, breached the NSW Constitution and Crimes Act.’**

Minister Hazzard did not mis-speak, Minister Hazzard did not correct the record, Minister Hazzard did not explain his statement. Minister Hazzard publicly stated his **adherence to a foreign power**.

**The foreign power** was the ‘New World Order’ Minister Hazzard was telling the people of NSW to accept. ‘The New World Order’ by definition a world wide Government, demonstrated the usurpation of the power of the NSW Government by using the NSW Health Minister to announce itself to the people of NSW.

The event I am describing is not a conspiracy theory, you can see Minister Hazzard make this statement in any number of online videos such as this

<https://youtu.be/vKBNAUyeFcA>

I did not create this event, I did not put those particular words into Minister Hazzard’s public statement. Minister Hazzard chose to make that statement and additionally he has chosen not to retract, explain or brief any further details about his statement.

Minister Hazzard by publicly stating his **adherence to a foreign power** breached s13A (1)b

## Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902

---

Of the NSW Constitution Act 1902 on or about July 12th 2021.

**The Speaker of the NSW legislative Assembly is duty bound to declare the seat of Wakehurst vacant. Why this has not already taken place deserves explanation to the people of NSW.**

Furthermore, Minister Hazzard, by his public statements ***adhering to a foreign power*** And by commanding NSW residents to accept the usurpation of power from the NSW Government is described as an offence under s12 of the Crimes Act 1900. Minister Hazzard is liable to 25 years imprisonment if found guilty under s12..

### **4. Petition to remove Minister Hazzard by declaring his seat vacant**

#### **Petition to Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly to act.**

The Speaker of the Legislative Assembly by this petition must take immediate action in respect of a Member of the NSW Legislative Assembly having breached **section 13A (1)b of the NSW Constitution Act 1902**

**Minister Hazzard representing the seat Wakehurst, by his own public statements** proves his ***adherence and obedience to a foreign power***. The public statements proving this have been recorded by media in each instance, shared on the internet and viewed by millions around the world. As such, there can be no denial that Minister Hazzard said these words, he will likely dismiss these words as unimportant. However they are far from unimportant, they are proof positive of ***adherence*** if not ***obedience to a foreign power***, the case proving this forms the main part of this petition.

Minister Hazzard breached s13A (1) b, publicly disgraced himself, the NSW Legislative Assembly, NSW Parliament, as well as betrayed his constituents in Wakehurst, shown his contempt for the people of NSW by his public betrayal of them and betrayed his oath of allegiance to Australia and the people of NSW.

**The consequences of such a serious breach of trust is equally serious - immediate repudiation by declaring the seat of Wakehurst vacant. Such betrayal by Minister Hazzard cannot have a place in NSW Parliament even for one second, once discovered.**

#### **Minister Hazzard adhering to a foreign power, acts in detriment to NSW.**

I will prove with factual evidence and careful examination that shows the Minister's own words prove the breach and prove his guilt.

**Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to  
Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902**

---

Worse, Minister Hazzard whose own words will prove he **adheres to a foreign power** and most likely acts in **obedience to that foreign power**, has by his actions caused great detriment to both the state and people of NSW.

Before providing evidence and substantiating Minister Hazzard's breach under s 13A (1)b for **adherence to a foreign power**, consider the following;

- A. Minister Hazzard currently has vast overriding powers by way of the emergency powers under the NSW Public Health Act Orders.
- B. Minister Hazzard, by way of the Chief Health Officer advised the NSW Parliament be closed on June 24th 2021 and as a result of the closure of parliament, the Minister has no oversight or accountability whatsoever for his decisions and issuance of Public Health Orders.
- C. Minister Hazzard's vast powers and Public Health Orders, the likes of which have never before been enacted in NSW and the severity of which is causing; the destruction of the NSW economy, the bankruptcy of small business, the destruction of personal savings, damage to social fabric and also the mental well being of the people of NSW.
- D. As well, Minister Hazzard's "stay at home" and other Public Health Orders are oppressive of the basic human rights and freedoms of the people of NSW and have resulted in the erosion, if not destruction of the sacred trust that is the foundation of our system of Government - *the consent of the people to be governed*.

**Cognizant of the damage caused and the potential for future injury, Minister Hazzard therefore represents exactly the threat the framers of the NSW Constitution intended to protect the people of NSW from, by their inclusion of section 13A (1) b.**

Consider the implications of Minister Hazzard being **in adherence** or **obedience of a foreign power**. Given his position and authority he is perfectly placed to bankrupt and destroy both the state and its people by public health fiat order, should that be the design of the foreign power. I put it to you, that Minister Hazzard's actions are indeed leading to the bankruptcy of the state and destruction of the people of NSW. Minister Hazzard must be removed immediately.

## Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902

### **5. Minister Hazzard public statements**

*There is nothing concealed that will not be disclosed, and nothing hidden that will not be made known. What you have spoken in the dark will be heard in the daylight, and what you have whispered in the inner rooms will be proclaimed from the housetops....Luke 12:2*

At a televised press conference on or about 12th July 2021 Minister Hazzard stated in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic **“...we’ve got to accept that this is the new world order”**.

These are far from trivial words spoken in error, I put it to you that Minister Hazzard did not mis-speak, instead he was articulating a subject that was clearly on his mind for some time, because at a televised press conference on or around 8th October 2020 Minister Hazzard stated in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic **“we must treat this new world order...this new world of covid...”**



#### **Statements widely shared and viewed by millions worldwide.**

Minister Hazzard’s statements were uploaded to the internet and have spread virally such that they have been shared, viewed, re-edited, presented in various contexts and seen by tens of millions of people around the world.

Minister Hazzard’s own words leave no doubt for those tens of millions of people who have seen him state them, that the current global pandemic and various declared health emergencies have provided an opportunity for a supra national power structure to secretly take control under the guise of this ‘New World Order’. Many hundreds of video clips uploaded to the internet and shared, are edited to just show Minister Hazzard stating **“...we’ve got to accept that this is the new world order”**, or even just **“this is the new world order”** edited together multiple times and displayed under the title of “Australia now under the New World Order” or similar titles.

Minister Hazzard’s words and invocation of the ‘New World Order’ has brought a stain to Australia and New South Wales and damaged their standing around the world. Minister Hazzard’s words and actions have diminished both Australia and NSW in the eyes of many people around the world.



## Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902

---

Minister Hazzard has shown the world by his own words his **adherence and obedience** to “..the New World Order”. No reasonable person could conclude otherwise than Minister Hazzard purposely declared he is **adherent and obedient** to “the New World Order” over and above his role as a NSW Minister and over and above his oath of allegiance.



### **No explanation provided...**

The matters I describe are fact and are on the public record. I have no explanation why Minister Hazzard has chosen to state the term “new world order” I also have no explanation as to why the Minister would have this “new world order” so much on his mind that he would state this publicly at several different times.

**Also, the NSW Government, NSW Health and the Minister himself have provided no further response when questioned as to why the Minister used this term.**

I do not know if Minister Hazzard belongs to a secret society that would maintain a desire for a ‘New World Order’. It is for example widely known that high level freemasonry has a desired goal of a single supra national world Government and the dissolving of sovereign nations and national borders. Should Minister Hazzard in fact be involved in high level freemasonry and be pursuing such a supra national world Government, he would still be in breach of s13A (1)b. He would also be committing an offence under s12 of the Crimes Act and liable to 25 years imprisonment.

But regardless of whether the Minister is or is not involved in high level Freemasonry or other occult secret society activities, this would be irrelevant to his breach of s13A (1)b of the NSW Constitution Act 1902.

## **6. New World Order is both “foreign” and “a Power”**

### **What is this `new world order`?**

The concept of a `new world order` has been in existence for many years and is most commonly linked to the workings of ancient occult based secret societies. The New World Order proscribed by these secretive groups have several common themes, large scale global de-population, a single world Government (presumably unelected as there is no mention of democracy for these global rulers), a new religion combining all existing religions and dissolving of sovereign nations. `The New World Order` is often linked to satanic worship as it advocates the elimination of human rights, the destruction of traditional social and family institutions, elimination of Christian religion and extermination of the believers of Christianity.

In recent years there have been attempts to normalize this `New World Order` with benign dictionary entries proclaiming it merely as a post cold war peaceful co-operation of nations. This is a false and blatant misrepresentation.

Wikipedia states: *The New World Order is a conspiracy theory which hypothesizes a secretly emerging totalitarian world government.*

For a contemporaneous viewpoint that helps frame how this secretive group operate there are none more direct than that of Cardinal Carlo Maria Vigano former Apolistic Nuncio (Vatican Ambassador) to the United States. In letter to then President Trump in 2020 he wrote:

*In society, Mr. President, these two opposing realities co-exist as eternal enemies, just as God and Satan are eternal enemies. And it appears that the children of darkness – whom we may easily identify with the deep state which you wisely oppose and which is fiercely waging war against you in these days – have decided to show their cards, so to speak, by now revealing their plans. They seem to be so certain of already having everything under control that they have laid aside that circumspection that until now had at least partially concealed their true intentions. The investigations already under way will reveal the true responsibility of those who managed the Covid emergency not only in the area of health care but also in politics, the economy, and the media. We will probably find that in this colossal operation of social engineering there are people who have decided the fate of humanity, arrogating to themselves the right to act against the will of citizens and their representatives in the governments of nations.*

**Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to  
Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902**

---

And further in direct reference to the New World Order;

*And it is disconcerting that there are Bishops – such as those whom I recently denounced – who, by their words, prove that they are aligned on the opposing side. They are subservient to the deep state, to globalism, to aligned thought, to the New World Order which they invoke ever more frequently in the name of a universal brotherhood which has nothing Christian about it, but which evokes the Masonic ideals of those want to dominate the world by driving God out of the courts, out of schools, out of families, and perhaps even out of churches...there are those who hope to profit from the dissolution of the social order so as to build a world without freedom: Solve et Coagula, as the Masonic adage teaches.*

A writer who has researched occult secret societies and freemasonry extensively, A. Ralph Epperson wrote a book in 1968 in order to warn the world about what he believed was the end goal for the worldwide network of high level devotees of these satanic worshipping societies. In his book “The New World Order” he offered the following description of the society these people not only desired but were actively working to bring about;

It is now possible for the people to know just what the new world government and its supporting religion will offer the people of the world: The abolition of the family. Children will be raised by the society through the government. The abolition of the right to private property. All land and property will be owned by the government. The right to worship will no longer exist.

Religious people will be subject to rigorous deprogramming. Those who will not alter their belief system will be forced into concentration camps, or simply killed, because the act of worshipping a God will become a crime. National borders will no longer exist. There will be a one world government instead of city, county, state, and national governments. All of the individual's private decisions will be made by others. The individual will no longer decide whom he or she will associate with, either in employment, voluntary associations, or in social organizations.

A. Ralph Epperson - The New World Order

<https://archive.org/details/A.RalphEppersonTheNewWorldOrderPdf/page/n305/mode/2up?q=power+AND+New+World+Order>

If this prediction from 1968 sounds extreme, compare it to the vision of Klaus Schwab, a megalomaniac psychopath who established the World Economic Forum. He sees COVID as a grand opportunity to usher in what he calls the Great Reset. A dystopian world where “you (as in us the masses not the elites) will own nothing and you will be happy”. Klaus also dreams of a future where man and machine become one with hi tech implants. Klaus Schwab is simply the offspring of Nazi's resurrecting their utopia while funded by big tech and the mega rich old royal bloodlines of the EU. The Great Reset may as well be called the New World Order due to the alignment of purpose and design.

**Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to  
Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902**

---

<https://www.weforum.org/focus/the-great-reset>

Minister Hazzard may well have journeyed to Switzerland as part of a World Economic Forum indoctrination session.

**`New World Order' meets definition of foreign**

Regardless of the many and varied ways this `New World Order' is described or defined, for the purposes of this petition, it refers to individuals, a group or society, with desires, plans, aims or motivations to form a new type of Government that encompasses the entire world.

A Government encompassing the entire world is clearly foreign from the viewpoint of Australia in both a geographic sense and in a legal and judicial sense. It is also foreign to our way of life and thinking.

Australia has no legal or government framework to accommodate a `new world order' , a government encompassing the whole world. Australia has no capacity to annexe or invade the whole world, nor militarily occupy the whole world. Therefore any such `New World Order' that described a Government encompassing the whole world would not be Australian. If not Australian then this `New World Order' is necessarily **foreign**.

So the only question remaining is whether this `New World Order' is also representative of or has the qualities of being a **power**.

**`New World Order' represents power**

A simple search of synonyms for the word "order" reveals *command, rule and regulation* Each of which are expressions that can only be made by an entity possessing power or authority.

Taking the phrase together, a man notably obsessed with power politically and militarily stated his aim to use his movement and party to establish such a New World Order

*"...National socialism will use its own revolution for the establishing of a new world order."*

*Adolf Hitler*

OCCULT AND THE THIRD REICH, THE, by Jean-Michel Angebert

A `New World Order' being by common description a system of world Government that replaces national sovereignty, it necessitates a transfer of power.

Minister Hazzard stood in front of the news cameras and by his statement he commanded the people of NSW to accept that the `New World Order' had usurped the powers of the NSW Government. In effect Minister Hazzard announced that the usurpation was complete. Therefore at the time of his public statement **adhering to a foreign power**, the `New World Order' the global Government had usurped the powers of the NSW Government absorbing

**Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to  
Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902**

---

them as part of its power to be the global government thus meeting the definition of **foreign power** in s13A (1)b

This 'New World Order' then, is both **foreign** and by its scope of purpose, by its design and by its nature of accumulation of powers usurped from sovereign nations also **a power**.

**How the Minister has breached s13A (1)b**

The Minister by his exact and repeated usage of the term 'new world order' has made an **acknowledgement** of this 'new world order'. Furthermore the Minister stated bluntly and clearly to the public that 'we've got to accept that this is the new world order' which unambiguously states **adherence** and arguably is stating his **obedience** to this 'new world order'.

As well we show above that this 'New World Order' is both **foreign** and a **power**. In effect

Minister Hazzard has therefore breached section 13A (1)b as follows;

Minister Hazzard is **a member of either house of the NSW Parliament**.

Minister Hazzard has made **an acknowledgement of adherence**, and

Minister Hazzard has arguably also made **an acknowledgement of obedience To... a foreign power**.

To end all doubt,

Minister Hazzard has fulfilled the parts necessary for this section to apply. The Minister has, while making no oath nor any declaration, he has made the third alternative of **acknowledgement of ...not allegiance**, arguably **obedience to** but most definitely **adherence to...a foreign power**.

***The effect of this breach is that Minister Hazzard's seat as a Member of that House shall thereby become vacant.***

**The NSW Legislative Assembly is responsible for enforcement**

Over the last 20 years there have been many parliamentary enquiries into the working of this section and its equivalent in other State constitutions. I have noted a concurrence in opinion across the States that finds the best enforcement of this section and of any vacancy resulting from breach is the House thus affected. The judiciary in particular is reluctant to arbitrate on this section prior to vacation of the Members seat because its enforcement requires the removal of an elected member and opinions concur the desire that matters under this section be dealt with responsibly and according to rule of law by the affected House. The judiciary then acting if required to uphold or render void the vacancy thus declared by the House via the Court of Disputed Returns in the NSW Supreme Court.

**Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to  
Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902**

---

A Report into section 13A by the ICAC Committee in 1996 made recommendations for resolving issues of s.13A disqualification was that the provision continue to operate of its own force, with resulting vacancies being declared by the House, and electors be given the right to make an application to the Court of Disputed Returns for the declaration of a vacancy arising from the operation of the section. However this proposal has not been implemented

Therefore with no other avenue to resolve the matter, the NSW Legislative Assembly is the correct body to adjudicate and rule on this breach. In fact the NSW Legislative Assembly should have no option but to resolve this matter now that I have brought this matter forward and have laid out the said breach in detail in this petition to you Mr Speaker..

**NOTE: Should the Speaker or the Legislative Assembly refuse to act on this breach or simply ignores or decides the breach is trivial, I will have no option but to take this matter to the court of public opinion and social media, whereby I will use this as a rallying call to protest for Minister Hazzard's removal and for the removal of all NSW Parliamentarians who are refusing to serve the people of NSW or are complicit in Minister Hazzards detrimental acts.**

**The Minister incriminated himself by his words not by any other means**

This breach of section 13A (1)b of the NSW Constitution Act 1902 by Minister Hazzard is due to the Minister choosing to make the public statements he did. It has nothing to do with whether he is a member of some secret society or not.

Minister Hazzard would not have breached section 13A (1)b If he had not chosen to repeat in different instances before the media, this 'New World Order' which was apparently in his mind and which culminated in his statement on or about 12th July 2021 when Minister Hazzard stated in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic **"...we've got to accept that this is the new world order"**.

**No mistake, Minister Hazzard injures NSW in service of foreign powers.**

This was no mistaken speech. Minister Hazzard is driven to attain certain ends and using all the powers at his disposal he has variously regaled, used guilt, expressed disgust and ridiculed the residents of NSW in order to meet his particular objectives in this health emergency.

These objectives pursued by Minister Hazzard, while ordinarily assumed to be beneficial to NSW and its people, may just as easily be detrimental to NSW and its people, in light of Minister Hazzard's statements indicating his entanglement with foreign powers namely this 'New World Order'..

By this public statement, **"...we've got to accept that this is the new world order"** the Minister purposely intended to create fear in the residents of NSW. The Minister was

stating that, beyond the vast and unaccountable powers he has under the NSW Public Health Act 2010 to issue Public Health Orders, the residents of NSW should accept these oppressive and arbitrary removals of their freedom not as extraordinary, emergency powers, but as a permanent feature of their lives under this 'new world order'.

This represents the most egregious abuse of powers and confirms Minister Hazzard is acting to the detriment of the people of NSW when compared to the actions of a Minister who was free from the affectations and nefarious intent of a man with foreign power entanglements.

## **7. The cost of allowing Members to break the law**

### **Government by consent of the people...is nothing if consent is removed**

I put it to you Mr Speaker, that the people of NSW currently **consent** to oppressive and arbitrary restrictions on their freedoms and laws that barricade them in their homes...until the moment **they no longer consent**. That change in public sentiment is not one you can easily predict, however once the residents of NSW **no longer consent** to be Governed in this oppressive and arbitrary manner, then history will hold the whole of NSW Parliament responsible for the result once that line is crossed. Particularly if it results in bloodshed among unarmed citizens lawfully resisting oppression. Make no mistake, NSW has a long history of resistance against arbitrary and oppressive rule and against petty tyrants placed in high authority. At the end of this petition I quote both Lord Camden and William Wentworth, whose words on resistance to oppression are just as valid today as when they were expressed in 1770 and 1851.

### **A warning that resistance to arbitrary oppression is lawful**

Contrary to the narrow views displayed by Minister Hazzard and Police Minister Elliott, NSW has a long history of residents or colonists resisting oppression by the Colonial secretariat, tyrannical Governors and the self appointed Squattocracy. As well, those at the highest levels of British society had expressed understanding that arbitrary oppression had inevitably caused resistance in the American colonies.

Privy Councillor Lord Camden had noted at the time;

“Everything has been staked on this single position...The Acts of Parliament must be obeyed. But this general unconditional, unlimited oppression I am far from thinking applicable to every possible case which may arise from the turn of the times. For my part, I conceive that a power resulting from *trust*, arbitrarily exercised, may be lawfully resisted whether power is lodged in a collective body or in a single person...Whenever the trust is used to the injury of the people, whenever oppression begins, all is unlawful and unjust and resistance of course becomes lawful and right”.

**Petition to the Speaker of the NSW Legislative Assembly in relation to  
Minister Hazzard breach of s13A (1)b of NSW Constitution Act 1902**

---

Then 70 years later in 1851, in a stark warning to London that NSW colonists did not possess unlimited patience for self rule, William Wentworth wrote;

“If, Sir, our petitions be now disregarded - if they be reviewed with the same cold contempt which it has hitherto been our lot to receive - I, for one, will never incur the scornful and sneering indifference of a Minister who would trample with iron heel on the liberties of his fellow subjects. If Sir, redress be not granted to us, the time for petitioning will be passed, and the colonists must prepare to take steps to secure their rights . The time will have come , when the resistance spoken of by Lord Camden as lawful and right against oppression must be made.”

Indeed the bully pulpit words of Police Minister Elliott threatening those resisting the oppression of freedoms in NSW, are a pale comparison. No Member should ever forget that they work for the people of NSW. Nor should they forget our system of Government is built on the sacred trust that allows Government by consent of the people.

If the British Colonial Department did one thing right, by 1851 they knew not to push the colonists too far. They knew that authoritarian crackdowns would result in a full blown rebellion. I sincerely hope the Health dictatorship in NSW step back from the brink. If they continue on the current trajectory they will destroy the trust between the people, Parliament and Government for a decade if not a generation. It will become extremely difficult to govern NSW residents who have no trust and withdraw their consent.

**Mr O’dea sir, I put it to you that extraordinary times require extraordinary bravery, unshakeable belief in the authority of our state parliament and faith in God.**

**This is such a time. Remove Minister Hazzard and save NSW from an inevitable conflict when NSW residents remove their consent to Government oppression and arbitrary imprisonment in their homes. Minister Hazzard chose to say publicly words that incriminate him as *adherent to a foreign power* therefore he must be removed from parliament.**

**In doing this you not only show NSW Parliament follows the rule of law, you will counter the popular belief it is a privileged club where Members flout laws and are rarely sanctioned. You will also cause the required change in direction that will save NSW from potential conflict in the short term and the unthinkable, potential bloodshed among unarmed residents resisting oppressive Government.**