



14 May 2020

Helena Rose

Sent via email: sirendoll0@gmail.com

Dear Helena Rose

Your Freedom of Information Request submitted on 14 April 2020 at 11.59 PM

I refer to your request submitted on 14 April 2020 at 11.59 PM under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (the FOI Act) seeking access to the following documents:

"I am requesting access to documents under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 (the FOI Act) for one Safety Study Research Test that confirms, establishes, provides factual evidence that 5G technology has been proven to be 100% safe to humans."

I am an officer authorised under section 23 of the FOI Act to make decisions with respect to applications for access to documents under the FOI Act and this letter sets out my decision on your request.

Decision

I have identified two documents relevant to your request as follows:

1. Radiation Protection Standard for Maximum Exposure Levels to Radiofrequency Fields - 3 kHz to 300 GHz (2002)
2. Review of Radiofrequency Health Effects Research – Scientific Literature 2000-2012

I have decided to release documents 1 and 2 in full.

My reasons for making this decision are set out in Attachment A to this letter.

Attachment B is a schedule setting out the documents released under my decision.

I have enclosed extracts of the provisions of the FOI Act relevant to your request and my decision at Attachment C to this letter.

Review Rights

You are entitled to seek review of this decision. Your rights in this regard are set out at Attachment D to this letter.

You may also complain to the Commonwealth Ombudsman about the way your request has been handled and Attachment D also sets out your rights of complaint and how to exercise them.

Publication of information in accessed documents.

ARPANSA must publish information that has been released in response to each freedom of information access request, subject to certain exceptions, in what is known as a “disclosure log”.

The disclosure log requirement does not apply to personal information about any person if it would be unreasonable to publish the information or to information about the business, commercial, financial or professional affairs of any person if publication of that information would be unreasonable. ARPANSA is not required to consult you on any decision to publish information that is released to you and the decision to publish information is not subject to internal review by ARPANSA or the Australian Information Commissioner. Any person can however, make a complaint to the Australian Information Commissioner about how an agency handles an FOI request.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J Templeton", is placed on a light grey rectangular background.

John Templeton
Privacy and FOI Officer
Office of the General Counsel
Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency
+612 95418345
privacy@arpansa.gov.au



**ATTACHMENT A - REASONS FOR DECISION under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982 (FOI Act)*
Helena Rose**

Material taken into account

In making my decision, I had regard to the following:

- The terms of your request;
- The content of the documents to which you sought access;
- Advice from ARPANSA officers with responsibility for matters relating to the documents to which you sought access;
- The relevant provisions of the FOI Act;
- ARPANSA's guidance material on the FOI Act; and
- Guidelines on FOI, available on the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner website.

Searches conducted

In order to identify any relevant documents the following searches were carried out:

- Searches on ARPANSA's records management system for "*one Safety Study Research Test that confirms, establishes, provides factual evidence that 5G technology has been proven to be 100% safe to humans*", as described in your 14 April 2020 FOI request, made under the FOI Act.

The only documents which were potentially relevant to your FOI request were:

- Radiation Protection Standard for Maximum Exposure Levels to Radiofrequency Fields - 3 kHz to 300 GHz (2002)
- Review of Radiofrequency Health Effects Research – Scientific Literature 2000-2012



ATTACHMENT B – SCHEDULE OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTS

| Doc No. | Document Description | Pages | Released |
|----------------|--|--------------|------------------|
| 1 | Radiation Protection Standard for Maximum Exposure Levels to Radiofrequency Fields - 3 kHz to 300 GHz (2002) | 136 | Released in full |
| 2 | Review of Radiofrequency Health Effects Research – Scientific Literature 2000-2012 | 76 | Released in full |



ATTACHMENT C – RELEVANT FOI ACT PROVISIONS

11A Access to documents on request

Scope

- (1) This section applies if:
 - (a) a request is made by a person, in accordance with subsection 15(2), to an agency or Minister for access to:
 - (i) a document of the agency; or
 - (ii) an official document of the Minister; and
 - (b) any charge that, under the regulations, is required to be paid before access is given has been paid.

- (2) This section applies subject to this Act.

Note: Other provisions of this Act are relevant to decisions about access to documents, for example the following:

- (a) section 12 (documents otherwise available);
- (b) section 13 (documents in national institutions);
- (c) section 15A (personnel records);
- (d) section 22 (access to edited copies with exempt or irrelevant matter deleted).

Mandatory access—general rule

- (3) The agency or Minister must give the person access to the document in accordance with this Act, subject to this section.

Exemptions and conditional exemptions

- (4) The agency or Minister is not required by this Act to give the person access to the document at a particular time if, at that time, the document is an exempt document.

Note: Access may be given to an exempt document apart from under this Act, whether or not in response to a request (see section 3A (objects—information or documents otherwise accessible)).

- (5) The agency or Minister must give the person access to the document if it is conditionally exempt at a particular time unless (in the circumstances) access to the document at that time would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.

Note 1: Division 3 of Part IV provides for when a document is conditionally exempt.

Note 2: A conditionally exempt document is an exempt document if access to the document would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest (see section 31B (exempt documents for the purposes of Part IV)).

Note 3: Section 11B deals with when it is contrary to the public interest to give a person access to the document.

- (6) Despite subsection (5), the agency or Minister is not required to give access to the document at a particular time if, at that time, the document is both:
 - (a) a conditionally exempt document; and
 - (b) an exempt document:
 - (i) under Division 2 of Part IV (exemptions); or
 - (ii) within the meaning of paragraph (b) or (c) of the definition of *exempt document* in subsection 4(1).

11C Publication of information in accessed documents

Scope

- (1) This section applies to information if an agency or Minister gives a person access to a document under section 11A containing the information, except in the case of any of the following:
 - (a) personal information about any person, if it would be unreasonable to publish the information;
 - (b) information about the business, commercial, financial or professional affairs of any person, if it would be unreasonable to publish the information;
 - (c) other information of a kind determined by the Information Commissioner under subsection (2), if it would be unreasonable to publish the information;
 - (d) any information, if it is not reasonably practicable to publish the information under this section because of the extent of any modifications to a document (or documents) necessary to delete information mentioned in paragraphs (a) to (c).
- (2) The Information Commissioner may, by legislative instrument, make a determination for the purposes of paragraph (1)(c).

Publication

- (3) The agency, or the Minister, must publish the information to members of the public generally on a website by:
 - (a) making the information available for downloading from the website; or
 - (b) publishing on the website a link to another website, from which the information can be downloaded; or
 - (c) publishing on the website other details of how the information may be obtained.

23 Decisions to be made by authorised persons

- (1) Subject to subsection (2), a decision in respect of a request made to an agency may be made, on behalf of the agency, by the responsible Minister or the principal officer of the agency or, subject to the regulations, by an officer of the agency acting within the scope of authority exercisable by him or her in accordance with arrangements approved by the responsible Minister or the principal officer of the agency.
- (2) A decision in respect of a request made to a court, or made to a tribunal, authority or body that is specified in Schedule 1, may be made on behalf of that court, tribunal, authority or body by the principal officer of that court, tribunal, authority or body or, subject to the regulations, by an officer of that court, tribunal, authority or body acting within the scope of authority exercisable by him or her in accordance with arrangements approved by the principal officer of that court, tribunal, authority or body.



ATTACHMENT D - INTERNAL REVIEW OF DECISION BY ARPANSA

You have the right to apply for an internal review of this decision in accordance with Part VI of the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (FOI Act). If you make an application for review, the CEO of ARPANSA will appoint an officer of ARPANSA to conduct a review and make a completely fresh decision on the merits of the case.

If you wish to exercise this review right, you must apply in writing for a review of the decision within 30 days of receipt of this letter. You do not have to pay any fees or proceeding charges for internal the review. No particular form is required to apply for review although it is desirable to set out in the application the grounds on which you consider that the decision should be changed.

The application for review of the decision should be sent to foi@arpansa.gov.au or:
FOI & Privacy Officer
ARPANSA
619 Lower Plenty Road
YALLAMBIE VIC 3085

If you make an application for internal review and a decision is not made by us within 30 days of receiving the application, the original decision will be deemed to be affirmed in accordance with section 54D of the FOI Act and you will be entitled to make an application to the Information Commissioner in accordance with sections 54L and 54M of the FOI Act. Similarly, if you are dissatisfied with ARPANSA’s decision on internal review, you may also apply for review of this decision to the Information Commissioner.

Review of Decision by the Australian Information Commissioner (AIC)

You do not have to seek an internal review of the decision directly from ARPANSA. You may wish to seek a review of the decision from the AIC. If so, you must apply in writing and you can lodge your application in a number of ways. More information is available on the AIC’s website at: <https://www.oaic.gov.au/freedom-of-information/foi-review-process>.

Complaints to the AIC or the Commonwealth Ombudsman

You may complain to the Commonwealth Ombudsman or the AIC concerning action taken by an agency in the exercise of the powers or the performance of functions under the FOI Act. There is no fee for making a complaint. The Ombudsman or the AIC will conduct a completely independent investigation of your complaint.

You may complain to the Ombudsman either orally, in person, on-line or in writing and to the AIC in writing or by completing the on-line form. Relevant addresses are:

| | |
|--|---|
| Commonwealth Ombudsman GPO Box 442 CANBERRA ACT 2601. Web: www.ombudsman.gov.au | The Australian Information Commissioner GPO Box 2999 OR GPO Box 5218 Canberra ACT 2601 Sydney NSW 2001 Web: https://www.oaic.gov.au/freedom-of-information/foi-complaints |
|--|---|